UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MASTEROBJE	CTS, INC.		
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 11-2539 JSW	
YAHOO!, INC.	V.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS	
	Defendant(s).		
	sel report that they have met pulation pursuant to Civil L.F.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
The parties a	gree to participate in the follo	owing ADR process:	
Court Processes: □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) □ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) □ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)			
appreciably a ADR phone	more likely to meet their need	ettlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is Is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an his form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)	
Priva	ate Process: Private ADR (<i>please identi</i>	ify process and provider) Private mediation, provider	
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	h by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)	
4	other requested deadline 45 days after claims construction order.		
Dated: 9/16/20	011	/s/ George F. Bishop Attorney for Plaintiff	
Dated: 9/16//2	2011	/s/ Jennifer A. Kash Attorney for Defendant	

Case3:11-cv-02539-JSW Document23 Filed09/20/11 Page2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

|PROPOSED| ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

□ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

□ Mediation

Deadline for ADR session

 \Box 90 days from the date of this order.

other 45 days after claims constr

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 20, 2011

Hongrable Jefre S. White

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE